



2025 INSC 1216

REPORTABLEIN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTIONCivil Appeal No(s). 7435/2012

RAKSHA DEVI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PARKASH CHAND

Respondent(s)

J U D G M E N T

1. After almost four decades, a protracted litigation has reached a satisfying conclusion, owing to outstanding efforts of Sr. Adv. Gaurav Agrawal, appointed by this Court as the mediator. He successfully brought about a settlement between the parties, and we are happy to dispose of this Civil Appeal in terms of the agreement reached.

2. Notice on the present case was issued way back in November 2011. When the matter came up for hearing before us on 23.10.2024, we requested Mr. Gaurav Agrawal to undertake the process of mediation.

3. When the mediation report was placed before this Court, Mr. Akhil Sachar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant, commenced with thanking Mr. Gaurav Agrawal. He submitted that he never realized that an advocate could transcend his routine duty of presenting arguments before the Court to this extent. He elaborated by saying that Mr. Gaurav Agrawal travelled all the way to Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, interacted with rival members of the family and, along with them, went to inspect the

land and other properties in the village. Mr. Sachar submitted that the parties trusted the mediator, the direct consequence of which is resolution of this dispute.

4. Mr. G. Arudhra Rao, learned counsel for the respondent, joined Mr. Sachar in appreciating the efforts of Mr. Gaurav Agrawal. While ably shouldering the difficult responsibility of conveying the initial disagreement of his client on certain issues, he was happy to inform us that his client has finally agreed to the suggestions of the mediator and has requested us to dispose the civil appeal in terms of the agreement.

5. More than anything, we are happy to see that the young and able members of the bar, Mr. Akhil and Mr. Arudhra, got a chance to witness the power of trust, simplicity and efficacy of the technique of persuasion through mediation, as opposed to *adversarial* in litigation.

6. We believe that the essence of dispute resolution lies in selfless endeavour which is at the core of harmonious living. This is precisely what transpired, as the mediator shed the argumentative skills and adversarial demeanor of a lawyer and embarked on a journey to Hamirpur to mediate the dispute between the parties.

7. If lawyers are to double-up and evolve as mediators, a development which we consider is inevitable, they must cultivate a distinct set of skills and adopt a new attitude towards dispute resolution, one that diverges from *adversarial* litigation. The acquisition of these skills and

mind set begins with revisiting certain traditional techniques and practices developed for argumentation. Here, the fundamental principle is to listen, rather than speak. Mediators speak by listening. The model of mediation that we envision for our country, which may be termed *swadeshi mediation*, involves transcending the binary often embedded in western approaches, where professionalism is separated from individual character. Goodness is an essential value, it is neither divorced from professionalism nor unattainable through power of the will.

8. In view of the settlement as reported to us, we dispose of the Civil Appeal with the following directions;

i) the Agricultural Land no. 2022/11288 comprising in Khata no. 41, Khatoni Nos. 126 to 137, Khasra no. 150 admeasuring 12K-13M in village Jharlog Uparla Tappa Mehlata, Tehsil Moranj, District Hamirpur, which is shown in the name of appellant Raksha Devi be measured by the Patwari in the presence of both the parties.

ii) the respondent Prakash Chand may choose 7 Kanals, 10 Marlas of the demarcated land and the same shall be handed over to him by the appellant in the presence of the Patwari.

iii) the House and cow-shed in Abadi Area would be retained by Raksha Devi and her children, Vishal and Akash.

iv) The shops and the land below the shop and behind the shop would be retained by Raksha Devi

and children.

9. We direct the Registry to draw a decree in terms of the settlement as indicated in para 8.

10. With these directions, the Civil Appeal stands disposed of.

11. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

.....J.
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

.....J.
(ATUL S. CHANDURKAR)

New Delhi
24-09-2025

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.7

SECTION XIV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 7435/2012

RAKSHA DEVI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PARKASH CHAND

Respondent(s)

[TOP OF THE BOARD]

Date : 24-09-2025 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Akhil Sachar, Adv.
M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR
Ms. Babita Rawat, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. G. Arudhra Rao, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The civil appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed reportable judgment.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT
(signed reportable judgment is placed on the file)

(NIDHI WASON)
COURT MASTER (NSH)