

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1698-1699/2026**  
**(ARISING FROM SLP (CIVIL) NOS.20045-20046/2024)**

**RAMESH KUMAR BHAGCHANDAKA**

**APPELLANT(S)**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS.**

**RESPONDENT(S)**

**O R D E R**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits, on instructions, that the pending writ petition<sup>1</sup> before the Allahabad High Court shall not be pressed.
2. In view of the such statement, the High Court shall mark closure of such proceedings upon being intimated of this order.
3. Leave granted.
4. The High Court of Judicature at Allahabad by a short and cryptic order dated 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2023 has dismissed the appellant's writ petition<sup>2</sup>. No independent reason was assigned except referring to dismissal of another writ petition and affirmation of the same by this Court while dismissing a special leave petition.

<sup>1</sup> Civil Misc. Writ Petition No.2531 of 2022

<sup>2</sup> Civil Misc. Writ Petition No.5606 of 2010

5. We have also noted that a review petition having been filed by the appellant, the same too has been dismissed by an order dated 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2024. In fact, the length of the order of dismissal of the review petition outruns the order dismissing the writ petition because the High Court assigned, at least, some reasons why it was not inclined to review the order of dismissal.

6. Though an order in exercise of review jurisdiction declining review cannot be assailed in an appeal, the appellant has challenged both the orders dated 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2023 and 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 in these appeals.

7. Without much ado, the manner of dismissal of the writ petition by the High Court does not meet our approval. Neither were the facts discussed nor did the High Court indicate with any degree of clarity as to how the questions of facts and law in both the writ petitions were similar. No doubt, brevity is the soul of precision but not at the cost of completeness.

8. In such view of the matter, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the rival claims, we set aside the order dated 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2023 and revive the writ petition on the file of the High Court. The High Court is requested to decide the writ petition in accordance with law, without being influenced by the earlier order dated 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2023.

9. We repeat, all points of law and fact are kept open for being urged by the parties before the High Court.

10. Also, in exercise of power conferred by Article 142 of the Constitution, we set aside the order dated 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 too.

11. The civil appeals stand disposed of, on the aforesaid terms.
12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
**[DIPANKAR DATTA]**

.....J.  
**[AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH]**

**NEW DELHI;**  
**FEBRUARY 17, 2026**

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.6

SECTION III-A

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(C) NO(S). 20045-20046/2024**

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-04-2023 in WRITC No. 5606/2010 10-04-2024 in CMRA No. 579/2023 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

RAMESH KUMAR BHAGCHANDAKA

PETITIONER(S)

**VERSUS**

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH &amp; ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

**(IA No. 183838/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)**

Date : 17-02-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA  
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(S): Mr. Pankaj Gupta, AOR  
 Mrs. Rimpay Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Vipin Kumar Saxena, Adv.  
 Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.  
 Mr. Shivam Saxena, Adv.  
 Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Binay Kumar Das, AOR  
 Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.  
 Ms. Neha Das, Adv.  
 Mr. Shivam Saksena, Adv.

Mr. S. S. Shroff, AOR  
 Mr. Pravin Bahadur, Adv.  
 Mr. Shantanu Tyagi, Adv.  
 Ms. Ahana Deka, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The civil appeals stand disposed of in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGDISH KUMAR)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)