



the Registrar (Judicial) & Secretary, Board of Examiner, Advocates-on-Record (AOR) Examination, Supreme Court of India, for not conducting the AOR Exam for the present year i.e. 2026 cycle and postponing the same to next calender year keeping in view the overall strength of the AORs.

3. The learned advocates' plea through the battery of learned senior counsels appearing on their behalf is that since many of the advocates have qualified in the examination conducted in 2025 as per Regulation 11(i) of AOR Examination Regulations and being eligible or entitled for participating in next consecutive examination to be held in 2026, are now deprived by virtue of the impugned communication/ notification particularly when their right has crystallized and same will get truncated or frustrated on account of postponement of examination and as such they are seeking for grant of reliefs as prayed for in their respective writ petitions.

4. In some of the writ petitions, the learned advocates appearing for fresh candidates would contend that their right to participate in the examination is being taken away under the impugned communication/ notification, as the preparation for appearing in the examination for the present year 2026 has already commenced, and all their efforts, would go down the drain. As such, they are seeking for appropriate writ being issued in exercise of power under Article 32 of the Constitution of India.

5. Mr. C U Singh, learned senior counsel appearing for some of the petitioners in the unlisted matter(s) would also hasten to add that some of the Advocates have already submitted representation(s) to the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India in this regard and pray for some being considered favourably.

6. Having regard to the totality of circumstances of the case, we are of the considered view that ends of justice would be met by

directing the petitioners to submit a comprehensive and exhaustive representation to the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India and in the event of such a representation being filed, we see no reason as to why the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India would not consider it sympathetically by taking into consideration all the circumstances prevalent including the grievances addressed in the respective representations that are being filed or already filed. We request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India to consider the said representation on administrative side.

7. Further representation(s), if any, can be submitted covering all the category of candidates within 10 days from today.

8. With these observations, the writ petitions stands disposed of.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(ARAVIND KUMAR)

.....J.  
(PRASANNA B. VARALE)

**New Delhi;**  
**May 11, 2026.**

ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.14

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 582/2026

DANIA NAYYAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

REGISTRAR GENERAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 138485/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 141238/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

IA No. 145167/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 591/2026 (X)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 11-05-2026 These matters were called on for  
hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. P. S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shadan Farasat, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR  
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Sankarnarayanan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mandeep Kalra, AOR  
Ms. Radhika Narula, Adv.

Ms. Anushna Satapathy, Adv.  
Ms. Chitrangada Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Yashas J, Adv.  
Mr. Vaibhav Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Paras Mohan Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Trisha Chandran, Adv.  
Mr. Vishal Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Shourya Dasgupta, Adv.  
Mr. Tushar Shrivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. A. N. Venugopala Gowda, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. K. Shiva, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. IA No. 141238/2026 seeking intervention/impleadment is allowed.
2. Writ Petitions stand disposed of in terms of the Signed Order placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHI GUPTA)

(AVGV RAMU)

**COURT MASTER (SH)**

**COURT MASTER (NSH)**